

REMARKS

Claims 1-29 and 32-33 stand rejected. Claims 9, 20, 22, 25 and 33 are amended herein. Claims 30-31 were previously canceled. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of claims 1-29 and 32-33, as amended, in view of the following Remarks.

Claim 9 has been amended to correct the informality noted by the Examiner.

Claims 20, 22, 25 and 35 Were Rejected Under 35 USC § 112

The term “the transmitter” has been corrected in claims 20, 22 and 25. The term “the camera” has been corrected in claim 33.

Claims 1-3, 6, 7, 9-14, 16, 20, 21, 23-28, 32 and 33 were rejected under 35 USC §102(e) as being anticipated by Naidoo 6,690,411 (Naidoo ‘411)

Claim 1 specifies:

“reading legacy output data, the legacy output data including a serial data string, the legacy output data being output from the legacy surveillance system without introducing a signal into the legacy surveillance system;

transmitting the legacy output data into a data capture application of the intelligent surveillance system without sending a signal to the legacy surveillance system;”

Clearly Naidoo fails to disclose, teach or suggest transmitting “legacy output data including a serial data string” and being introduced “into a data capture application of the intelligent surveillance system”. Therefore, independent claim 1 is allowable. Dependent claims 2-19 are allowable for the same reasons, and further in view of additional patentable subject matter therein.

Claim 16 includes similar limitations to those discussed immediately above, and claim 16 is allowable for the same reasons as claim 1. Dependent claims 17-28 are allowable due to their dependency from claim 16.

Claim 32 is allowable for similar reasons. Claim 32 specifies:

“reading the legacy data from the legacy surveillance system via the created socket connection;”

Naidoo ‘411 plainly does not teach these limitations of claim 32. Accordingly claim 32 is allowable.

Claim 33 is allowable for similar reasons. Claim 33 specifies:

“receiving at the intelligent surveillance system server the legacy alert signal from the legacy surveillance system”

Naidoo ‘411 plainly does not teach these limitations of claim 33. Accordingly claim 33 is allowable.

Claims 4-5, 8, 15, 17-18, 19, and 22 were rejected under 35 USC §102(e) as being anticipated by Naidoo ‘411 in view of a second reference

None of the cited references taken in combination with Naidoo ‘411 cure the clear deficiencies (noted above) of Naidoo ‘411 with respect to independent claims 1 and 16. Accordingly, each of these claims is allowable for the same reasons as claims 1 and 16.

Claim 29 was rejected under 35 USC §102(e) as being anticipated by Naidoo ‘411 in view of Hart

Claim 29 includes limitations which are similar to those described above in challenging the rejection under §102, which are similar and at least as specific as discussed above independent claims 1, 16, 32 and 33. For example, claim 29 specifies:

“the input port being physically connected to a legacy serial output port to potentially receive legacy data from the legacy serial output port without introducing a signal into the legacy surveillance system,”.

Clearly, the combination of Naidoo and Hart fail to teach or suggest this limitation of claim 29. Accordingly, claim 29 is allowable.

Conclusion

Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1-29 and 32-33 are in condition for allowance and, accordingly, request issuance of a Notice of Allowance for same. The requested amendment is believed to place the application in condition for allowance, or in better condition for appeal, and its entry is therefor respectfully solicited. If the Examiner has any other matters which pertain to this Application, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned to resolve these matters by Examiner's Amendment where possible. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to withdraw or credit any filing fees associated with this Response from Deposit Account No. 50-4128.

Respectfully submitted,

/Jeffrey D. Hunt/

Jeffrey D. Hunt, Reg. 38,189

Date: August 4, 2009
Customer # 67589
MOORE LANDREY, L.L.P.
1609 Shoal Creek Blvd., Ste. 100
Austin, Texas 78701
Telephone: (512) 499-8900
Facsimile: (512) 320-8906